

## **Before Starting the CoC Application**

The CoC Consolidated Application is made up of two parts: the CoC Application and the CoC Priority Listing, with all of the CoC's project applications either approved and ranked, or rejected. The Collaborative Applicant is responsible for submitting both the CoC Application and the CoC Priority Listing in order for the CoC Consolidated Application to be considered complete.

The Collaborative Applicant is responsible for:

- Reviewing the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA in its entirety for specific application and program requirements.

- Using the CoC Application Detailed Instructions while completing the application in e-snaps.

- Answering all questions in the CoC application. It is the responsibility of the Collaborative Applicant to ensure that all imported and new responses in all parts of the application are fully reviewed and completed. When doing this keep in mind:

- This year, CoCs will see that a few responses have been imported from the FY 2015 CoC Application.

- For some of the questions HUD has provided documents to assist Collaborative Applicants in completing responses.

- For other questions, the Collaborative Applicant must be aware of responses provided by project applications in their Project Applications.

- Some questions require the Collaborative Applicant to attach a document to receive credit. This will be identified in the question.

- All questions marked with an asterisk (\*) are mandatory and must be completed in order to submit the CoC Application.

For CoC Application Detailed Instructions click [here](#).

## 1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

### Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**1A-1. CoC Name and Number:** FL-502 - St. Petersburg, Clearwater, Largo/Pinellas County CoC

**1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name:** Pinellas County Homeless Leadership Board, Inc.

**1A-3. CoC Designation:** CA

**1A-4. HMIS Lead:** 211 Tampa Bay Cares

## 1B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Engagement

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**1B-1. From the list below, select those organizations and persons that participate in CoC meetings. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if CoC meeting participants are voting members or if they sit on the CoC Board. Only select "Not Applicable" if the organization or person does not exist in the CoC's geographic area.**

Organization/Person Categories	Participates in CoC Meetings	Votes, including electing CoC Board	Sits on CoC Board
Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Local Jail(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
EMT/Crisis Response Team(s)	No	No	No
Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
CoC Funded Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
Non-CoC Funded Victim Service Providers	Not Applicable	No	Not Applicable
Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Youth advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
Agencies that serve survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	No
Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
Faith-based Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
Public Defender	Yes	Yes	Yes

**1B-1a. Describe in detail how the CoC solicits and considers the full range of opinions from individuals or organizations with knowledge of homelessness or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness in the geographic area. Please provide two examples of organizations or individuals from the list in 1B-1 to answer this question.**

The Lead Agency operates under Florida's Sunshine Law, and as such, publically posts and invites all interested parties to attend and participate in meetings/policymaking decisions. These meetings include: Board of Directors, Provider Council, Executive Committee, Funder Council, and Data and System Performance Committee. All Committees have subject matter experts on them (i.e., DV providers, unaccompanied youth providers, family providers, etc.);volunteers from diverse homeless service organizations are annually recruited. Membership is balanced for CoC area representation (i.e., Board of County Commission, VA, School Board, various municipalities to cover the full geography of the continuum), target population, and area of expertise (i.e., formally homeless members, youth advocates, DV advocates, etc.). Attendance is tracked and persons replaced if not able to attend meetings regularly.

**1B-1b. List Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)-funded and other youth homeless assistance providers (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) who operate within the CoC's geographic area. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if each provider is a voting member or sits on the CoC Board.**

Youth Service Provider (up to 10)	RHY Funded?	Participated as a Voting Member in at least two CoC Meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 20, 2016.	Sat on CoC Board as active member or official at any point between July 1, 2015 and June 20, 2016.
Family Resources	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ready for Life	No	Yes	Yes
Brookwood Florida	No	Yes	Yes

**1B-1c. List the victim service providers (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) who operate within the CoC's geographic area. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if each provider is a voting member or sits on the CoC Board.**

Victim Service Provider for Survivors of Domestic Violence (up to 10)	Participated as a Voting Member in at least two CoC Meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016	Sat on CoC Board as active member or official at any point between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016.
Community Action Stops Abuse (CASA)	Yes	Yes
The Haven of RCS	Yes	Yes

**1B-2. Explain how the CoC is open to proposals from entities that have not previously received funds in prior CoC Program competitions, even if the CoC is not applying for new projects in 2016. (limit 1000 characters)**

The Lead Agency consistently opens any funding opportunity to all interested parties, regardless of whether or not they are currently/previously CoC-funded. All activities of the Lead Agency are publicly announced and held in accordance with Sunshine Law. For the first year, the State has shifted their ESG, Challenge, and TANF grant management to Lead Agencies. As a result, a publicly-announced, competitive RFP process occurred for ESG funds. In addition, a competitive RFP was publicly-announced for new, RRH projects under reallocation and/or bonus projects for the FY2016 CoC competition. The Lead Agency utilizes email, website, social media, and public announcements to solicit the widest range of applicants for any local funding competition. All new projects go through a competitive process assessing a wide range of indicators of success, including: cost efficiency, Housing First commitment, and alignment with HUD and local CoC priority areas.

**1B-3. How often does the CoC invite new members to join the CoC through a publicly available invitation?** Semi-Annually

## 1C. Continuum of Care (CoC) Coordination

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**1C-1. Does the CoC coordinate with Federal, State, Local, private and other entities serving homeless individuals and families and those at risk of homelessness in the planning, operation and funding of projects? Only select "Not Applicable" if the funding source does not exist within the CoC's geographic area.**

Funding or Program Source	Coordinates with Planning, Operation and Funding of Projects
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
Head Start Program	Yes
Housing and service programs funded through Federal, State and local government resources.	Yes

**1C-2. The McKinney-Vento Act, requires CoC's to participate in the Consolidated Plan(s) (Con Plan(s)) for the geographic area served by the CoC. The CoC Program Interim rule at 24 CFR 578.7 (c) (4) requires the CoC to provide information required to complete the Con Plan(s) within the CoC's geographic area, and 24 CFR 91.100(a)(2)(i) and 24 CFR 91.110 (b)(2) requires the State and local Con Plan jurisdiction(s) consult with the CoC. The following chart asks for the information about CoC and Con Plan jurisdiction coordination, as well as CoC and ESG recipient coordination.**

CoCs can use the CoCs and Consolidated Plan Jurisdiction Crosswalk to assist in answering this question.

	Number
Number of Con Plan jurisdictions with whom the CoC geography overlaps	4
How many Con Plan jurisdictions did the CoC participate with in their Con Plan development process?	4
How many Con Plan jurisdictions did the CoC provide with Con Plan jurisdiction level PIT data?	4
How many of the Con Plan jurisdictions are also ESG recipients?	3
How many ESG recipients did the CoC participate with to make ESG funding decisions?	3
How many ESG recipients did the CoC consult with in the development of ESG performance standards and evaluation process for ESG funded activities?	2

**1C-2a. Based on the responses provided in 1C-2, describe in greater detail how the CoC participates with the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction(s) located in the CoC's geographic area and include the frequency and type of interactions between the CoC and the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction(s). (limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC conducts Countywide planning meetings quarterly with all jurisdictions. All four jurisdictions are represented on one or more of the following CoC monthly Committee Meetings: Board of Directors, Provider Council, and/or the Funder Council. CoC staff reviewed and commented on the 2015-2019 Con Plan for Pinellas County. In addition, CoC staff are currently reviewing and providing feedback for the other three (3) jurisdiction plans. In addition to the meetings noted above with jurisdiction participation, staff from these jurisdictions also actively participate in the CoC's: Coordinated Entry planning (monthly), PIT planning (monthly) and Street Outreach Facilitation Team meetings (bi-monthly).

**1C-2b. Based on the response in 1C-2, describe how the CoC is working with ESG recipients to determine local ESG funding decisions and how the CoC assists in the development of performance standards and evaluation of outcomes for ESG-funded activities. (limit 1000 characters)**

For the first year, the State of Florida has shifted the State ESG grant management to CoC Lead Agencies. As a result, the CoC conducted a competitive RFP process this summer for ESG and collaborated with other ESG jurisdictions to ensure consistent ESG Written Standards. Additionally, CoC staff participated on the Rank and Review process for ESG local funding decisions. The Lead Agency's Performance Improvement Manager led these efforts and will incorporate these Written Standards into the Performance Improvement Plan for the CoC this year. Additionally, this staff member conducts the annual monitoring and evaluation of ESG-funded projects in the CoC, utilizing the CoC-approved Minimum Standards.

**1C-3. Describe how the CoC coordinates with victim service providers and non-victim service providers (CoC Program funded and non-CoC funded) to ensure that survivors of domestic violence are provided housing and services that provide and maintain safety and security. Responses must address how the service providers ensure and maintain the safety and security of participants and how client choice is upheld. (limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC funds the only two state-certified DV organizations in the county. Both agencies provide permanent housing (RRH/PSH), emergency shelter and outreach services in non-disclosed locations. Properties are securely guarded and monitored. Agencies also use a nationally recognized, secure data system. An interagency agreement between 8 local entities ensures that confidentiality of victims is protected in service coordination. In addition, unique ID's are created for clients to participate in Coordinated Entry and access housing safely. CoC coordination ensures Housing Authorities give preference to DV

victims. Lethality assessments and safety planning are provided to the household. Victims assessed with high lethality are given priority to DV shelter beds. Both entities are Housing First and ensure client choice across the local region for both shelter and permanent housing.

**1C-4. List each of the Public Housing Agencies (PHAs) within the CoC's geographic area. If there are more than 5 PHAs within the CoC's geographic area, list the 5 largest PHAs. For each PHA, provide the percentage of new admissions that were homeless at the time of admission between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016 and indicate whether the PHA has a homeless admissions preference in its Public Housing and/or Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program.**

Public Housing Agency Name	% New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program from 7/1/15 to 6/30/16 who were homeless at entry	PHA has General or Limited Homeless Preference
Clearwater Housing Authority	0.00%	Yes-Both
Dunedin Housing Authority	0.00%	No
Pinellas County Housing Authority	29.00%	Yes-Both
St. Petersburg Housing Authority	12.00%	Yes-Both
Tarpon Springs Housing Authority	67.00%	Yes-Public Housing

**If you select "Yes--Public Housing," "Yes--HCV," or "Yes--Both" for "PHA has general or limited homeless preference," you must attach documentation of the preference from the PHA in order to receive credit.**

**1C-5. Other than CoC, ESG, Housing Choice Voucher Programs and Public Housing, describe other subsidized or low-income housing opportunities that exist within the CoC that target persons experiencing homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)**

Pinellas County and City of St. Petersburg Housing Authorities provide HUD-VASH vouchers to up to 480 and 275 respectively for homeless veterans referred by the VA Medical Center. PCHA provides 16 project based vouchers for homeless veterans and local Foster Care programs through a preference for Housing Choice Vouchers for youth aging out of foster care; SPHA provides 40 project based vouchers. PCHA partners with the Public Defender's Jail Diversion Program to provide housing that helps individuals whose legal involvement may be a result of untreated mental illness or co-occurring mental health and/or substance abuse disorders. PCHA sets aside up to 10% of PCHA's RAD project based vouchers for special needs/homeless. The Tarpon Springs Housing Authority administers a countywide HomeShare Program which matches home providers with home seekers.

**1C-6. Select the specific strategies implemented by the CoC to ensure that**



**homelessness is not criminalized in the CoC's geographic area. Select all that apply.**

Engaged/educated local policymakers:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Engaged/educated law enforcement:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implemented communitywide plans:	<input type="checkbox"/>
No strategies have been implemented	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Other:(limit 1000 characters)</b>	
Jail Diversion Program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

## 1D. Continuum of Care (CoC) Discharge Planning

**Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**1D-1. Select the system(s) of care within the CoC's geographic area for which there is a discharge policy in place that is mandated by the State, the CoC, or another entity for the following institutions? Check all that apply.**

Foster Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Correctional Facilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

**1D-2. Select the system(s) of care within the CoC's geographic area with which the CoC actively coordinates with to ensure institutionalized persons that have resided in each system of care for longer than 90 days are not discharged into homelessness. Check all that apply.**

Foster Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Correctional Facilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

**1D-2a. If the applicant did not check all boxes in 1D-2, explain why there is no coordination with the institution(s) that were not selected and explain how the CoC plans to coordinate with the institution(s) to ensure persons**

**discharged are not discharged into homelessness.  
(limit 1000 characters)**

Applicant checked all boxes.

# 1E. Centralized or Coordinated Assessment (Coordinated Entry)

## Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

**The CoC Program Interim Rule requires CoCs to establish a Centralized or Coordinated Assessment System which HUD refers to as the Coordinated Entry Process. Based on the recent Coordinated Entry Policy Brief, HUD's primary goals for the coordinated entry process are that assistance be allocated as effectively as possible and that it be easily accessible no matter where or how people present for assistance.**

**1E-1. Explain how the CoC's coordinated entry process is designed to identify, engage, and assist homeless individuals and families that will ensure those who request or need assistance are connected to proper housing and services. (limit 1000 characters)**

The CES is designed to move people out of homelessness as quickly and efficiently as possible. All agencies in CES are Housing First and ensure low-barrier access to assistance/housing. There is an upfront diversion/prevention component to reduce the number of people entering the homeless system. CES serves any and all Category 1 homeless populations. CES uses a multi-access approach including: a centralized hotline, multiple access points for assessment, and outreach to street locations/encampments. All access points use the same process to assess, divert, and prioritize. The assessment tool used in CES is the VI-SPDAT and provides an acuity score. This score is the primary factor in determining the housing intervention most likely to resolve the consumer's housing crisis. Once an acuity score is recorded in HMIS, the consumer populates to the by-name list. When there is a housing vacancy, the most vulnerable person eligible for the vacancy is referred to the unit.

**1E-2. CoC Program and ESG Program funded projects are required to participate in the coordinated entry process, but there are many other organizations and individuals who may participate but are not required to do so. From the following list, for each type of organization or individual, select all of the applicable checkboxes that indicate how that organization or individual participates in the CoC's coordinated entry process. If there are other organizations or persons who participate but are not on this list, enter the information in the blank text box, click "Save" at the bottom of**

**the screen, and then select the applicable checkboxes.**

Organization/Person Categories	Participate s in Ongoing Planning and Evaluation	Makes Referrals to the Coordinate d Entry Process	Receives Referrals from the Coordinate d Entry Process	Operates Access Point for Coordinate d Entry Process	Participate s in Case Conferenci ng	Does not Participate	Does not Exist
Local Government Staff/Officials	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CDBG/HOME/Entitlement Jurisdiction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law Enforcement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local Jail(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hospital(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
EMT/Crisis Response Team(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Service Organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Substance Abuse Service Organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Affordable Housing Developer(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public Housing Authorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-CoC Funded Victim Service Organizations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Street Outreach Team(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CoC funded DV programs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HMIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Emergency Shelter	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

# 1F. Continuum of Care (CoC) Project Review, Ranking, and Selection

## Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

### 1F-1. For all renewal project applications submitted in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition complete the chart below regarding the CoC's review of the Annual Performance Report(s).

How many renewal project applications were submitted in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition?	15
How many of the renewal project applications are first time renewals for which the first operating year has not expired yet?	3
How many renewal project application APRs were reviewed by the CoC as part of the local CoC competition project review, ranking, and selection process for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition?	12
Percentage of APRs submitted by renewing projects within the CoC that were reviewed by the CoC in the 2016 CoC Competition?	100.00%

### 1F-2 - In the sections below, check the appropriate box(es) for each selection to indicate how project applications were reviewed and ranked for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition. Written documentation of the CoC's publicly announced Rating and Review procedure must be attached.

<b>Performance outcomes from APR reports/HMIS:</b>	
% permanent housing exit destinations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
% increases in income	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Monitoring criteria:</b>	
Utilization rates	<input type="checkbox"/>
Drawdown rates	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Frequency or Amount of Funds Recaptured by HUD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Need for specialized population services:

Youth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Victims of Domestic Violence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Families with Children	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Veterans	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

**1F-2a. Describe how the CoC considered the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of participants that are, or will be, served by the project applications when determining project application priority. (limit 1000 characters)**

The Lead Agency conducted a comprehensive review of the 2016 NOFA and all of its requirements/priorities. As a result of this review and other local CoC priorities, such as Housing First, Coordinated Entry, and increasing RRH in the geographic area, scoring matrices were developed and utilized in the CoC's Competitive RFI and RFP Review and Ranking process. Each project (PSH, RRH, TH, and SH) was weighted to capture project performance for a variety of activities aligned with the aforementioned priorities of HUD and the local CoC. As a result, selected projects in this application are top performers in ensuring that the most vulnerable and severe needs of clients are prioritized and served first. All projects are Housing First and utilize the common assessment tool - VI-SPDAT/SPDAT - as part of the coordinated entry process, to ensure housing is provided based on severity of need/vulnerability.

**1F-3. Describe how the CoC made the local competition review, ranking, and selection criteria publicly available, and identify the public medium(s) used and the date(s) of posting. Evidence of the public posting must be attached. (limit 750 characters)**

The CoC followed the mandated requirements of the Florida Sunshine Law, which requires public, transparent activities/meetings/decision-making. Publicly-noticed information included: posting the 2016 NOFA (6/30/16) and the RFI/RFPs plus instructions (7/11/16) on the Lead Agency's website; posting meeting dates/times/locations for the Review and Ranking process, the Performance Review sub-committee process, and the CoC Board meeting to approve/deny the Review and Ranking Committee's recommendations on the website's calendar. Every meeting and discussion held for the competition review were open to all stakeholders/the public and publicly-noticed. No activities were privately conducted regarding the 2016 CoC NOFA selection process.

**1F-4. On what date did the CoC and Collaborative Applicant publicly post all parts of the FY 2016 CoC Consolidated Application that included the final project application ranking? (Written documentation of the public posting, with the date of the posting clearly visible, must be attached. In addition, evidence of communicating decisions to the CoC's full membership must be attached).** 09/12/2016

**1F-5. Did the CoC use the reallocation process in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition to reduce or reject projects for the creation of new projects? (If the CoC utilized the reallocation process, evidence of the public posting of the reallocation process must be attached.)** Yes

**1F-5a. If the CoC rejected project application(s), on what date did the CoC and Collaborative Applicant notify those project applicants that their project application was rejected? (If project applications were rejected, a copy of the written notification to each project applicant must be attached.)** 08/17/2016

**1F-6. In the Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) is the CoC's FY 2016 CoC's FY 2016 Priority Listing equal to or less than the ARD on the final HUD-approved FY2016 GIW?** Yes



# 1G. Continuum of Care (CoC) Addressing Project Capacity

## Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

### 1G-1. Describe how the CoC monitors the performance of CoC Program recipients. (limit 1000 characters)

The Lead Agency hired a Performance Improvement Manager to ensure effective monitoring of CoC projects. All CoC-funded projects participated in an annual site visit that utilized CoC-approved Minimum Standards for TH, RRH, SH, and PSH projects. This monitoring also included analysis of and recommendations for improvement in Housing First, Coordinated Entry, RRH best practices, and more. The HIC and APR's were utilized to monitor project performance in the areas of: utilization rates, housing stability, exit destination, length of time, income, mainstream benefits, drawdowns, and cost-effectiveness. The Board of Directors were provided with evaluation results from the HIC, APRs, and System Performance Measures to aid in the tiering process for the FY2016 NOFA competition. In addition, a Data and System Performance Committee has begun to develop local benchmarks, evaluate CoC-funded and non-CoC funded project performance, and conduct more comprehensive analysis of system performance.

1G-2. Did the Collaborative Applicant include accurately completed and appropriately signed form HUD-2991(s) for all project applications submitted on the CoC Priority Listing? Yes